arXiv:1208.3834v1 [math.FA] 19 Aug 2012

Exponential bases and frames of L^2 of two dimensional trapezoids

Laura De Carli and Anudeep Kumar

Abstract

We find Riesz bases and frames of L^2 of certain trapezoids of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and we prove stability theorems for these bases. We also consider trapezoids that are union of rectangles with the same height and we construct exponential Riesz bases on L^2 of these trapezoids.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42C15; Secondary 42C30

Keywords: Riesz bases, exponential bases, trapezoids,multi-rectangles.

1 Introduction

The study of Riesz bases and frames in Hilbert spaces is a fruitful topic of investigation since many decades. One of the central problems arising in many applications is the question of whether a family of exponential functions $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{i\lambda_m . x}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $x = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}^d$, forms a Riesz basis of $L^2(D)$ or not. Here, D is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., a bounded and measurable set of finite measure. If that is the case, we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is an exponential basis of $L^2(D)$.

When D is an interval of the real line, the problem is well studied since when Paley and Wiener explored the possibility of non harmonic Fourier series (see [PW]) but much less is known when D is a general domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this paper we construct Riesz bases and frames on a class of domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that we call *Trapezoid.*

Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and measurable function. Assume also that $f(y) > l > 0$ for almost every $y \in [a, b]$ (that is, with the possible exception of a set of measure zero). The *trapezoid bounded by* f is the set

$$T = \{(x, y) : \ -f(y) < x < f(y), \ a \le y \le b\}. \tag{1.1}$$

The trapezoids bounded by step functions are special and significant. We say that a bounded and positive step function is *regular* if it is constant on intervals of equal length. We say that a trapezoid is a *multi-rectangle* if it is bounded by a regular step function. So, a multi-rectangle is a union of a finite family rectangles $[-b_j, b_j] \times [y_0 + jh, y_0 + (j + 1)h)$, with h, $b_j > 0$ and $j = 1, ..., N$. We also say that this multi-rectangle has N steps of height $h > 0$.

In Section 3 we use regular step functions to construct Riesz bases of L^2 of trapezoids, in the sense specified by the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(y) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and piecewise continuous and such that $f(y) \ge l > 0$ for almost every $y \in [a, b]$. Let T be the trapezoid bounded by f.*

There exists a family of regular step functions $\{s_n(y)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which converges uniformly to f and is such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{s_n(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tag{1.2}$$

is a Riesz basis for $L^2(T)$.

A function f is piecewise continuous if it is continuous everywhere except at a finite number of points. The regular step functions are the "next best thing" after the constants, and Theorem 1.1 allows to construct a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$ in the form of $\{e^{i\langle(\lambda_n(y),\mu_m),\ (x,y)\rangle}\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\lambda_n(y)$ a regular step function.

Theorem 1.1 is a corollary of the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f(y) : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and measurable and such that $f(y) \geq l > 0$ in $[a,b]$. Let T be the trapezoid bounded by f. Let $\{h_n(y)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of bounded and measurable functions such that*

$$\left|\frac{f(y)}{h_n(y)} - n\right| \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{4} \tag{1.3}$$

for almost every $y \in [a,b]$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi i x}{h_n(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tag{1.4}$$

is a Riesz basis for $L^2(T)$. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ cannot be replaced by any larger constant.

This Theorem can be viewed as a Kadec stability theorem on trapezoids. We state Kadec's theorem and we compare it with Theorem 1.2 in Section 2.3.

In section 4 we show that exponential bases of L^2 of multi-rectangles can be obtained from exponential bases of L^2 of the union of finite families of disjoint and bounded intervals of $\mathbb{R}$.

Exponential bases on the union of disjoint intervals can be found in special cases (see the discussion in Section 2.2). We use a theorem of N. Lev (see Theorem 2.5) to prove the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f(y) = \alpha y^m + \beta y^{m'}$, where m and m' are non negative integers and α, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $f(y) > 0$ for every $y \in [0,1]$. Let $s_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$:*

$$s_n(y) = \begin{cases} f(1/n) & if\ \ 0 \leq y < \frac{1}{n} \\ f(2/n) & if\ \ \frac{1}{n} \leq y < \frac{2}{n} \\ \cdots & \\ f((n-1)/n) & if\ \ 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Let R_n be the trapezoid bounded by s_n. Then, $L^2(R_n)$ has an exponential basis for every $n \geq 1$.

The plan of this paper is the following. In section 2 we state some preliminary definitions and results. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3. We have stated some remarks and conjectures in Section 5.

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank K. Seip for his advices and suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we have collected some definitions and preliminary results. We refer to the textbooks [Y] and [Ch] and to the excellent paper [Ca] for a survey on bases and frames in a Hilbert spaces, and to the introduction for the definitions of multi-rectangle.

2.1 Frames and Riesz bases

Definition. A sequence of vectors $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, ...\}$ in a Hilbert space H is a *frame* if there exist constants A and B so that for every $w \in H$,

$$A|w||^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} | < w, v_j > |^2 \leq B||w||^2. \tag{2.6}$$

Here, $|| \; ||$ and $\langle \; \rangle$ are the norm and the inner product in H. Unless otherwise specified, we will assume that the v_j's are normalized, that is, $||v_j|| = 1$. A and B are called lower and upper frame bounds. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is *tight* if $A = B$.

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a *Bessel sequence* if only the upper bound inequality holds in (2.6). If only the lower bound inequality holds in (2.6), $\mathcal{B}$ is called a *Riesz-Fisher sequence.*

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a *Riesz basis* if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied

i) $\mathcal{B}$ is an exact frame (that is, it ceases to be a frame when any one of its elements is removed).

ii) $\mathcal{B}$ is complete and there exist constants A, $B > 0$ so that for every finite sequence of scalars $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots c_n\}$,

$$A \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j \right\| \leq B \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j^2.$$

iii) $\mathcal{B}$ is the image of an orthonormal basis of H through a bounded invertible operator.

iv) There exists an orthonormal basis $\{w_j\}$ of H (called the *dual basis* of $\{v_n\}$) such that every $f \in H$ can be represented in a unique way as $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f, \, w_j \rangle v_j$.

By Plancherel's theorem, an orthonormal Riesz basis is also a tight frame with bounds $A = B = 1$.

Definition. We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a *Riesz sequence* if it satisfies ii) without the assumption of completeness. So, $\mathcal{B}$ is a Riesz basis of the Hilbert space $\overline{\text{Span}(\mathcal{B})}$, but may not be a a Riesz basis of H.

If $H = L^2(D)$, with D a domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$, a Riesz basis, (resp. frame) of $L^2(D)$ in the form of $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{i\lambda_n . x}\}$, with $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}^d$, is called an exponential basis (resp. exponential frame) of $L^2(D)$.

Frames can be considered over-complete Riesz bases, and Riesz sequences can be viewed as under-complete Riesz bases, but it is not true that an exponential Riesz basis can always be extracted from an exponential frame of $L^2(D)$, or that an exponential Bessel sequence can always be completed to an exponential Riesz basis of $L^2(D)$. K. Seip proved in [S2] that there are frames $\{e^{i\lambda_n x}\}$ of $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ from which it is not possible to extract a Riesz basis of $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$, and also Riesz sequences $\{e^{i\lambda_n x}\}$ that cannot be completed to a Riesz basis of $L^2(-\pi,\pi)$ with the addition of terms of the form of $e^{i\mu_n x}$.

The following proposition implies that it is very easy to find exponential frames of $L^2(D)$ for every domain $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let P be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\mathcal{F}=\{v_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a frame for $L^2(P)$ with constants A and B.*

- *a) For every domain $D\subset P$, the sequence $\mathcal{F}_{|_D}$ of the restrictions of the v_n's to D forms a frame for $L^2(D)$ with the same frame bounds A and B. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(P)$, then $\mathcal{F}_{|_D}$ is a tight frame for $L^2(D)$ with constants $A=B=1$.*
- *b) Let D be a domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that contains P; Let $\tilde{v}_n=\begin{cases} v_n(x) & if\ x\in P,\\ 0 & if\ x\notin P\end{cases}$. Then, $\{\tilde{v}_n\}$ is a Riesz sequence for $L^2(D)$.*

Assume for example that $D\subset P=(-a,a)\times(-b,b)$, for some $a,\ b>0$. An exponential basis of $L^2(P)$ is $\mathcal{B}=\{e^{\frac{\pi in}{a}x+\frac{\pi im}{b}y}\}_{n,\,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and so the restriction of $\mathcal{B}$ to D is an exponential frame of $L^2(D)$.

Proof. a) Let $f\in L^2(D)$. The function $\tilde{f}(x)=\begin{cases} f(x) & if\ x\in D\\ 0 & if\ x\in P-D\end{cases}$ is in $L^2(P)$, and so

$$A||\tilde{f}||^2_{L^2(P)}\leq\sum_n\langle\tilde{f},\ v_n\rangle_P^2\leq B||\tilde{f}||^2_{L^2(P)}$$

where by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_P$ we mean the inner product on $L^2(P)$. By definition of $\tilde{f}$,

$$A||f||^2_{L^2(D)}\leq\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle f,\ v_n\rangle_D^2\leq B||f||^2_{L^2(D)}$$

and so we have proved that $\mathcal{F}_{|_D}$ is a frame for $L^2(D)$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an orthonormal Riesz basis of $L^2(P)$, then $||\tilde{f}||_{L^2(P)}=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle\tilde{f},\ v_n\rangle_P^2$, and $||f||_{L^2(D)}=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle\tilde{f},\ v_n\rangle_D^2$, thus proving that $\mathcal{F}_{|_D}$ is a tight frame for $L^2(D)$ with constants $A=B=1$.

The proof of b) is similar. □

The following proposition shows an easy construction of an exponential Bessel sequences on a multi-rectangle.

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a multi-rectangle with N steps of height h. Let $2bh$ be the measure of the largest step. Then, for every $B\geq b$, $\mathcal{B}=\left\{(2B)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\pi inx}{B}+\frac{2\pi imy}{h}}\right\}$ is a Bessel sequence for $L^2(R)$ with constant $B=N$.*

Proof. After a translation and a dilation, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $R=\cup_{j=1}^N(-b_j,b_j)\times[j,j+1)$ and $B=1$. Let $R_j=(-b_j,b_j)\times[j,j+1)$. The set $\mathcal{B}=$

$\left\{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\pi nx+2\pi imy}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2((-1,1)\times[j,j+1))$ for every integer j, and so, by Proposition 2.1, it is a tight frame of $L^2(R_j)$ with constants $A=B=1$ for every $1\leq j\leq N$.

Let $f\in L^2(R)$. We let $f_j=f\chi_{R_j}$, and $f=f_0+...+f_{N-1}$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}|<f,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|^2=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}|<(f_1+...+f_N),\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|^2$$

$$\leq\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(|<f_1,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|+...+|<f_N,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|\right)^2.$$

By the elementary inequality $(x_1+...+x_N)^2\leq N(x_1^2+...+x_{N1}^2)$, the last sum is

$$\leq\frac{N}{2}\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(|<f_1,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|^2+...+|<f_N,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|^2\right).$$

All the inner products are in $L^2(R)$, but since the f_j have support in R_j, we can consider them in $L^2(R_j)$. By the frame inequality,

$$\frac{N}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}|<f_j,\ e^{in\pi x+2im\pi y}>|^2\leq N\sum_{j=1}^{N}||f_j||^2=N||f||^2$$

as required. $\square$

2.2 Exponential bases of L^2 of the union of segments

Proposition 2.1 shows that it is easy to find exponential frames of $L^2(D)$, but it is often very difficult, and sometimes impossible, to extract exponential Riesz bases from them. Here, we discuss some special and significant cases for which the answer is known.

Let $b>0$. A standard exponential basis of $L^2(-b,b)$ is $\{e^{in\pi x/b}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$. By Proposition 2.1, $\{e^{in\pi x/b}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame of $L^2(-a,a)$ for every $0<a<b$. From this particular frame, we can always extract a Riesz basis of $L^2(-a,a)$, as specified by the following

Lemma 2.3. *Let $b>a>0$; there exists a sequence of integers $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ so that $\{e^{i\pi n_k/b}\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an exponential basis of $L^2(-a,a)$.*

Lemma 2.3 is equivalent to a Lemma proved by K. Seip in [S2]. In the same paper the Author shows that it is always possible to construct an exponential basis of L^2 of the union of two intervals, as specified by the following

Theorem 2.4. *Let $I_1=(a_1,\ b_1)$ and $I_2=(a_2,\ b_2)$ be intervals of the real line, with $-\infty<a_1<b_1\leq a_2<b_2<\infty$. There exists a sequence of integers $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ so that the set $\left\{e^{\frac{2\pi in_k}{b_2-a_1}}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an exponential basis of $L^2(I_1\cup I_2)$.*

The main theorem in [S2] is about the construction of a Riesz basis of L^2 of the union of a finite family of disjoint intervals of finite measure. We call such sets *multi-segment*. In [S2] the author construct a Riesz basis $\{e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n}{N}}\}_{\lambda_n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ on a multi-segment of diameter N without making any assumption on the length of the intervals, but with strong assumptions on the gaps between them.

N. Lev proved in [L] that an exponential basis on a multi-segment exist whenever the length of the intervals are in a special Diophantine form.

Theorem 2.5. *Let I be the union of finitely many disjoint intervals on $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that there are two real numbers α and β such that the length of each interval belongs to $\alpha\mathbb{Z}+\beta\mathbb{Z}$. Then $L^2(I)$ has an exponential basis.*

To the best of our knowledge, the problem of finding an exponential basis on L^2 of a general multi-segment is not solved yet.

2.3 Stability of Riesz bases

Riesz bases are stable, in the sense that a small perturbation of a Riesz basis produces a Riesz basis. Let us recall Paley-Wiener stability theorem, and the celebrate Kadec's stability theorem for exponential bases of $L^2(-a,a)$. The proof of both theorems can be found e.g. in [Y]. Kadec's theorem was originally proved in [Ka].

Theorem 2.6. *(Paley-Wiener) Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Riesz basis for a Hilbert space H. Suppose that $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of H such that*

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j(x_j-y_j)\right\| \le \lambda \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j\right\| \tag{2.7}$$

for some constant $0<\lambda<1$ and all choices of scalars $c_1, \dots c_n$. Then $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Riesz basis for H.

Note that if $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of H, and $\sum_j c_j^2=1$, then the right hand side of (2.7) equals to λ.

Theorem 2.7. *(Kadec) Let $\mathcal{B}=\{e^{i\pi\lambda_n x}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where*

$$|a\lambda_n-n|=\delta_n<\frac{1}{4} \tag{2.8}$$

for some $a>0$; then $\mathcal{B}$ is a Riesz bases for $L^2(-a,a)$. The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ cannot be replaced by any larger number.

Compare Theorem 1.2 with Theorem 2.7 and (1.3) with (2.8), and recall that $\left\{e^{\frac{\pi inx}{a}}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(-a,a)$, and by Lemma 3.1 below, $\mathcal{B}'=\left\{e^{\frac{\pi inx}{f(y)}+\frac{2\pi iky}{b-a}}\right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$. Because of the assumptions on the h_n's in Theorem 1.2, the set $\mathcal{B}=\left\{e^{\frac{\pi ix}{h_n(y)}+\frac{2\pi iky}{b-a}}\right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ can be considered a perturbation of $\mathcal{B}'$.

A powerful generalization of Kadec's theorem is proved in [A]. An extension of Kadec's theorem to exponential Riesz bases of L^2 of parallelepipeds in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is in [SZ].

3 Bases of exponentials for L^2 of trapezoids.

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and its corollary, Theorem 1.1. We start with an easy construction of a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f(y) : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and measurable and such that $f(y) \geq l > 0$ for almost every $y \in [a,b]$. Let $T = \{(x,y) : \ -f(y) < x < f(y), \ a \leq y \leq b\}$ be the trapezoid bounded by f. Then,*

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ (2f(y)(b-a))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{f(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n\, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(T)$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{f(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n\, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$.

Proof. Observe first that if $L : H \to H_1$ is a continuous, linear and invertible function between Hilbert spaces, and if $\{v_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of H, then $\{L(v_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of H_1.

So, we let $R = (-1,1) \times (a,b)$, and $L : L^2(R) \to L^2(T)$ be such that

$$L(\psi)(x,y) = (f(y))^{-1/2} \psi(x/f(y),\, y).$$

It is easy to verify that L is an invertible isometry. Since $\mathcal{C} = \left\{ (2(b-a))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pi i n x + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(R)$, the set

$$\mathcal{B} = L(\mathcal{C}) = \left\{ (2f(y)(b-a))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{f(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n\, k\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(T)$.

Recalling that $f(y) \geq l > 0$, the map $G(\psi)(x,y) = (2f(y)(b-a))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(x,y)$ is linear and invertible on $L^2(T)$. So, $\mathcal{B}_1$, the image of $\mathcal{B}$ through L, is a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume for simplicity that $(a,b) = (0,1)$. By Lemma 3.1 the set $\left\{ (2f(y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{f(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal Riesz basis for $L^2(T)$. Let $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of positive and measurable functions on $[0,1]$ for which

$$\sup_{y\in[0,1]} \left| \frac{f(y)}{g_n(y)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{4n}. \tag{3.9}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ (2f(y))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{g_n(y)} + 2\pi i k y} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$. We show that

$$\left\| \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{f(y)} + 2\pi i k y} - e^{\frac{\pi i n x}{g_n(y)} + 2\pi i k y} \right) \right\| < 1 \tag{3.10}$$

whenever $\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} |c_{n,k}|^2 \leq 1$. Here $||\ || = ||\ ||_{L^2(T)}$. By Paley-Wiener theorem (Theorem 2.6), $\mathcal{B}_1$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$. Then we let $h_n(y) = g_n(y)/n$, and we argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 to show that $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi i x}{h_n(y)} + \frac{2\pi i k y}{b-a}} \right\}$ is also a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$.

We argue as in the proof of Kadec's theorem (see [Y]). We let

$$(2f(y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(e^{\frac{\pi inx}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}-e^{\frac{\pi inx}{g_n(y)}+2\pi iky}\right)=(2f(y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{\frac{\pi inx}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}\left(1-e^{i\delta_n(y)x}\right)$$

where $\delta_n=\pi n\left(\frac{1}{g_n(y)}-\frac{1}{f(y)}\right)$, and $-f(y)\le x\le f(y)$. Note that by (3.9),

$$f(y)|\delta_n|=\pi n\left|\frac{f(y)}{g_n(y)}-1\right|<\frac{\pi}{4}.$$

We let $L=\inf_{y\in[a,b]}f(y)|\delta_n|$. Expand the function $1-e^{i\delta_n x}$ in $L^2(-f(y),f(y))$ as a Fourier series relative to the complete orthonormal system $\left\{1,\cos\left(\frac{x\pi n}{f(y)}\right),\sin\left(\frac{(n-\frac{1}{2})\pi x}{f(y)}\right)\right\}$. We have,

$$1-e^{i\delta_n x}=\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m2f(y)\delta_n\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{m^2\pi^2-f(y)^2\delta_n^2}\cos\left(\frac{\pi mx}{f(y)}\right)$$
$$+i\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m2f(y)\delta_n\cos(f(y)\delta_n)}{(m-\frac{1}{2})^2\pi^2-f(y)^2\delta_n^2}\sin\left(\frac{\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\pi x}{f(y)}\right).$$

We change the order of the summation and use triangular inequality.

$$\left\|\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{\frac{\pi inx}{f(y)}+2\pi imy}\left(1-e^{i\delta_n x}\right)\right\|\le\left\|\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)\frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{\frac{in\pi x}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}\right\|$$
$$+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\|\cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{f(y)}\right)\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(-1)^m2f(y)\delta_n\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{m^2\pi^2-f(y)^2\delta_n^2}\frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{\frac{in\pi x}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}\right\|$$
$$+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\|\sin\left(\frac{\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)\pi x}{f(y)}\right)\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{(-1)^m2f(y)\delta_n\cos(f(y)\delta_n)}{(m-\frac{1}{2})^2\pi^2-f(y)^2\delta_n^2}\frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{\frac{in\pi x}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}\right\|$$
$$=A+B+C$$

We use a change of variables and Plancherel theorem to estimate A.

$$A^2=\int_0^1\int_{-f(y)}^{f(y)}\frac{1}{2f(y)}\left|\sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)c_{n,k}e^{in\frac{\pi x}{f(y)}+2\pi iky}\right|^2dxdy$$

$$=\int_0^1\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)c_{n,k}e^{in\pi x+2\pi iky}\right|^2dx\right)dy$$

$$=\int_0^1\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{n,k}e^{2\pi iky}\right)e^{in\pi x}\right|^2dx\right)dy$$

$$=\int_0^1\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1-\frac{\sin(f(y)\delta_n)}{f(y)\delta_n}\right)^2\left|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}c_{n,k}e^{2\pi iky}\right|^2dy$$

and since $\delta_n \to 1 - \frac{\sin x}{x}$ is increasing when $x \in [0, \pi]$ and we have assumed that $f(y)\delta_n < L$, we obtain

$$A^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sin L}{L}\right)^2 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{n,k} e^{2\pi iky} \right|^2 dy \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sin L}{L}\right)^2 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{n,k}^2 = \left(1 - \frac{\sin L}{L}\right)^2$$

We argue in a similar way to show that

$$B \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}\sin L}{\pi\left(m^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)}, \quad C \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}\cos L}{\pi\left(\left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)}$$

So,

$$A + B + C \leq 1 - \frac{\sin L}{L} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}\sin L}{\pi\left(m^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}\cos L}{\pi\left(\left(m - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)}$$

But the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}}{\pi\left(m^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)}$ and $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{2L}{\pi}}{\pi\left(\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\right)}$ are the partial fraction expansions of the function $\frac{1}{L} - \cot L$ and $\tan L$ respectively. Hence, we have proved that

$$\left\| \sum_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_{n,k}}{(2f(y))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(e^{\frac{\pi inx}{f(y)} + 2\pi iky} - e^{\frac{\pi inx}{g_n(y)} + 2\pi iky} \right) \right\| \leq 1 - \cos L + \sin L.$$

and since $|L| < \frac{\pi}{4}$, the right hand side is < 1, as required.

To show that the constant in (1.3) cannot be improved, we use a straightforward generalization of an example by Ingham (see e.g. [Y]). Let $f(y) = y+1$, with $y \in [0,1]$. Let $h_n(y) = \frac{y+1}{n-\frac{1}{4}}$ if $n > 0$ and $h_n(y) = \frac{y+1}{n+\frac{1}{4}}$ if $n < 0$. Clearly, (1.3) is satisfied with $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$. If $\{v_m(x,y)\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $T = \{(x,y) : -(y+1) < x < y+1, \ y \in [0,1]\}$, then $v_m(x, \overline{y})$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(-\overline{y} - 1, \ \overline{y} + 1)$ for almost every $\overline{y}$. But if $v_m(x,y) = v_{n,k}(x,y) = e^{\frac{\pi ix}{h_n(y)} + 2\pi iky}$, and the $h_n(y)$'s are as above, then $\{v_m(x, \overline{y})\}$ can never be a basis for $L^2(-\overline{y} - 1, \ \overline{y} + 1)$ because Ingham's example shows that $\{e^{\frac{i\pi x}{h_n(\overline{y})}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is not a Riesz basis on $L^2(-\overline{y} - 1, \ \overline{y} + 1)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality, we can assume $(a,b) = (0,1)$ and $\sup_{y\in[0,1]} |f(y)| = 1$. We can also assume that f is continuous, since in the piecewise continuous case the proof is the same. The proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that if $\{g_n(y)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of functions that satisfy (3.9), then $\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ e^{\frac{\pi inx}{g_n(y)} + 2\pi iky} \right\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2(T)$.

Observe that since we have assumed $|f(y)| \leq 1$, (3.9) follows if we prove that

$$\sup_{(0,1)} \left| \frac{1}{g_n(y)} - \frac{1}{f(y)} \right| < \frac{1}{4n}.$$

By our assumptions on f, also $1/f(y)$ is positive and bounded in $(0,1)$. If f is continuous, then $1/f(y)$ is continuous too, and so it is uniformly continuous in $[0,1]$. If we let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4n}$, there exists $\delta = \delta(n) > 0$ so that $\left|\frac{1}{f(y_1)} - \frac{1}{f(y)}\right| < \frac{1}{4n}$ if $|y_1 - y| < \delta$. So, if we fix $\overline{\delta} < \delta$, we partition $[0,1]$ with $N = N(n)$ intervals of length $\overline{\delta}$, and we let $y_j = j/N$, we can see at once that

$$\left|\frac{1}{f(j/N)} - \frac{1}{f(y)}\right| < \frac{1}{4n} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{j}{N} < y < \frac{j+1}{N}.$$

We can define a step function $s_n(y)$ which is $\equiv f(j/N)$ if $\frac{j}{N} < y < \frac{j+1}{N}$ for every $0 \le j \le N-1$. Clearly, the s_n's satisfy (3.9). Since

$$\sup_{y\in(0,1)} |s_n(y) - f(y)| = \sup_{y\in(0,1)} s_n(y)f(y)\left|\frac{1}{s_n(y)} - \frac{1}{f(y)}\right| < \frac{1}{4n}$$

we have proved that the s_n's converge uniformly to f, as required. □

4 Bases of L^2 of multi-rectangles

In this section we construct Riesz bases of special multi-rectangles. We recall that a *multi-rectangle* is a trapezoid bounded by a regular step function. First of all, we show that the construction of an exponential basis on L^2 of a multi-rectangle can be reduced to the construction of an exponential basis on L^2 of a multi-segment of $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., a union of a finite family of disjoint intervals of finite measure.

Let a_1, ..., $a_N > 0$ and $h > 0$; let $R = \cup_{j=1}^{N}(-a_j, a_j) \times (jh + y_0, (j+1)h + y_0)$ be a multi-rectangle. After a translation and a dilation, we can assume $y_0 = 0$ and $h = 1$. We can also translate R by $\vec{v} = (a_1, 0)$, and consider instead $\tilde{R} = \cup_{j=1}^{N}(-a_j + a_1, a_j + a_1) \times (j, j+1)$. So, the first rectangle in $\tilde{R}$ is $R_1 = (0, 2a_1) \times (0,1)$. After a dilation, we can assume that $\max_{j\le N}\{a_j + a_1\} = 1$. We let $I = \cup_{j=1}^{N}(-a_j + a_1 + 2j, a_j + a_1 + 2j)$. The intervals of I do not intersect because for every $j \ge 0$,

$$a_j + a_1 + 2j \le -a_{j+1} + a_1 + 2(j+1) \iff a_j + a_1 \le -a_{j+1} + a_1 + 2$$

$$\iff (a_j + a_1) + (a_{j+1} + a_1) \le 2a_1 + 2$$

and since $a_j + a_1 \le 1$ for every j, the last inequality is verified.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R and I be defined as above. Suppose that $L^2(I)$ has an exponential basis. Then $L^2(R)$ has an exponential basis as well.*

Proof. Clearly, R has an exponential basis if and only if $\tilde{R}$ has an exponential basis. We let for simplicity $a_j - a_1 = a'_j$ and $a_j + a_1 = a''_j$, so that $\tilde{R} = \cup_{j=1}^{N}(a'_j, a''_j) \times (j, j+1)$ and $I = \cup_{j=1}^{N}(a'_j + 2j, a''_j + 2j)$. Assume $a''_N = 1$ (the proof of the theorem can be easily modified if this is not the case). With this position, the diameter of I is $2N+1$. Let $T : L^2(\tilde{R}) \to L^2(I \times (0,1))$

$$Tf(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \chi_{(a'_j+2j,\ a''_j+2j)}(x) f(x - 2j,\ y + j).$$

it is easy to verify that T is a linear and invertible isometry, and so, by Lemma 3.1, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis of $L^2(I \times (0,1))$ then $T^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ is a basis of $L^2(\tilde{R})$.

Let $N' = 2N+1$, and let $\{e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n x}{N'}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a basis of $L^2(I)$; for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we chose a sequence $\{\mu_{n(m)}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in such a way that

$$T^{-1}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n x}{N'}+\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}y}{N'}}\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n(x+2j)}{N'}+\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}(y-j)y}{N'}} = e^{\frac{2i\pi\lambda_n x}{N'}+\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}y}{N'}}. \tag{4.11}$$

Clearly, (4.11) is verified if $j(2\lambda_n - \mu_{n(m)})$ is an integer multiple of N' for every j; we can chose $\mu_{n(m)} = \{2\lambda_n\} + N'm$, where $\{2\lambda_n\}$ is reminder of the division of $2\lambda_n$ by N', and observe that, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequence $\{e^{\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}y}{N'}}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{e^{2\pi iy\left(m+\frac{\{2\lambda_n\}}{N'}\right)}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of $L^2(0,1)$.

By Lemma 4.2 below, $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n x}{N'}+\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}y}{N'}}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is basis of $L^2(I\times(0,1))$; so, $T^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \{e^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda_n x}{N'}+\frac{2\pi i\mu_{n(m)}y}{N'}}\}_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of $L^2(R)$. $\square$

We are left to prove the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let D and E be a domain of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbb{R}^d$; let $\{v_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Riesz basis of $L^2(D)$, and, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\{w_{n(m)}(y)\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a Riesz basis of $L^2(E)$. Then, $\mathcal{B} = \{v_n(x)w_{n(m)}(y)\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is Riesz basis of $L^2(D\times E)$.*

Proof. Let $\{v'_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. $\{w'_{n(m)}(y)\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$) be the dual basis of $\{v_n(x)\}$ in $L^2(D)$ (resp. the dual basis of $\{w_{n(m)}(y)\}$ in $L^2(E)$). Let $f(x,y) \in L^2(D\times E)$. Then, $f_n(y) = \langle f,\ v'_n\rangle_D \in L^2(E)$, and so it can be written in a unique way as $\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle f_n,\ w'_{n(m)}\rangle_E w_{n(m)}(y)$. By Fubini's theorem, $\langle f_n,\ w'_{n(m)}\rangle_E = \langle\langle f,\ v'_n\rangle_D,\ w'_{n(m)}\rangle_E = \langle f,\ v'_n w'_{n(m)}\rangle_{D\times E}$, and so,

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(y)v_n(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle f_n,\ w'_{n(m)}\rangle_E\, w_{n(m)}(y)\right)v_n(x)$$

$$= \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{\infty}\langle f,\ w'_{n(m)}v'_n\rangle_{D\times E}\ w_{n(m)}(y)v_n(x)$$

as required. $\square$

Our Theorem 4.1 reduced the problem of finding an exponential basis on a multi-rectangle to the problem of finding an exponential basis on a multi-segment I. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now an easy consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 2.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f(y) = \alpha y^m + \beta y^{m'}$, with m and m' non negative integers and α, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, for every integer $n > 0$ and every $0 < k \leq n$,

$$2f(k/n) = 2\alpha n^{-m}k^m + 2\beta n^{-m'}k^{m'} = \alpha' k^m + \beta' k^{m'}.$$

By Theorem 2.5, a basis of the multi-segment formed by the disjoint union of the translates of the $(-f(k/n),\ f(k/n))$ exists, and by Theorem 4.1 the multi-rectangle bounded by s_n has an exponential basis as well. $\square$

5 Remarks and open problems

We have constructed exponential bases of $L^2(R)$, where R is a special multi-rectangle, but can we find exponential bases when R is a union of a generic family of disjoint rectangles? In our Theorem 4.1 we strongly use the fact that the rectangles in the R are all of the form of $(-b_j, b_j) \times (j, j+1)$, and we have tied up the construction of an exponential basis of $L^2(R)$ to the construction of an exponential basis of L^2 of a multi-segment. Our construction does not seem to work well for general multi-rectangles.

Complex analysis methods have been often used in these problems. We cite for example the recent paper by J. Marzo [M], where the Author proves the existence of a Riesz basis of exponentials on a finite union of congruent cubes of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by finding complete interpolating sequences in a suitable Paley-Wiener space. It seems to us that the proof in [M] cannot be easily generalized when the cubes are replaced by parallelepiped, but it is worthwhile remarking that the distances between the cubes have no importance for the proof.

We are also wondering if the construction of exponential Riesz bases on a family of multi-rectangles R_n that approximate a trapezoid T, in the sense that the measure of the symmetric difference of R_n and T goes to zero when $n \to \infty$ could lead to the construction of an exponential basis of $L^2(T)$. We feel that this should be possible, and we plan to pursue this investigation in a subsequent paper.

References

[A] Avdonin, S. A. *On the question of Riesz bases of exponential functions in* L^2. (translated into English in: Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Ser. Mat., 13, pp 203–2011 (1979)

[Ca] Casazza, P; *The art of frame theory.* Taiwanese J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 2, 129201.

[Ch] Christensen, O. *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[DS] Duffin, R. J.; Schaeffer, A. C.*A class of nonharmonic Fourier series.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72, (1952), 341-366.

[L] Lev, N.; *Riesz bases of exponentials on multiband spectra.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), no. 9, 3127-3132.

[Ka] Kadec, M. *The exact value of the Paley-Wiener constant.* (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 155 (1964) 1253-1254.

[M] Marzo, J. *Riesz basis of exponentials for a union of cubes in* $\mathbb{R}^d$, arXiv:math/0601288

[PW] Paley, R.; Wiener, N. *Fourier transforms in the complex domain.* Reprint of the 1934 original. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 19, Providence, RI, (1987).

[S1] Seip, K. *On the connection between exponential bases and certain related sequences in* $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$. J. Funct. Anal. 130 (1995), no. 1, 131–160.

[S2] Seip, K. *A simple construction of exponential bases in* L^2 *of the union of several intervals.* (Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1995), no. 1, 171– 177.

[SZ] Sun, W.; Zhou, X. *On the stability of multivariate trigonometric systems.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 235 (1999), no. 1, 159–167.

[Y] Young, R. M. *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series.* Revised first edition. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 2001.

LAURA DE CARLI
Florida International University, Department of Mathematics, 11200 SW 8th str., Miami, FL 33199. e-mail: decarlil@fiu.edu

ANUDEEP KUMAR
George Washington University, Department of Mathematics, 2115 G St. NW, Monroe Hall, Washington, DC 20052.